

On Fluctuations for Random Band Toeplitz Matrices

Yiting Li¹ and Xin Sun²

Abstract

In this paper we study two one-parameter families of random band Toeplitz matrices:

$$A_n(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_n}} \left(a_{i-j} \delta_{|i-j| \leq [b_n t]} \right)_{i,j=1}^n \quad \text{and} \quad B_n(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_n}} \left(a_{i-j}(t) \delta_{|i-j| \leq b_n} \right)_{i,j=1}^n$$

where

1. $a_0 = 0$, $\{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ in $A_n(t)$ are independent random variables and $a_{-i} = a_i$;
2. $a_0(t) = 0$, $\{a_1(t), a_2(t), \dots\}$ in $B_n(t)$ are independent copies of the standard Brownian motion at time t and $a_{-i}(t) = a_i(t)$.

As t varies, the empirical measures $\mu(A_n(t))$ and $\mu(B_n(t))$ are measure valued stochastic processes. The purpose of this paper is to study the fluctuations of $\mu(A_n(t))$ and $\mu(B_n(t))$ as n goes to ∞ . Given a monomial $f(x) = x^p$ with $p \geq 2$, the corresponding rescaled fluctuations of $\mu(A_n(t))$ and $\mu(B_n(t))$ are

$$\sqrt{b_n} \left(\int f(x) d\mu(A_n(t)) - \mathbb{E} \left[\int f(x) d\mu(A_n(t)) \right] \right) = \frac{\sqrt{b_n}}{n} \left(\text{tr}(A_n(t)^p) - \mathbb{E}[\text{tr}(A_n(t)^p)] \right), \quad (1)$$

$$\sqrt{b_n} \left(\int f(x) d\mu(B_n(t)) - \mathbb{E} \left[\int f(x) d\mu(B_n(t)) \right] \right) = \frac{\sqrt{b_n}}{n} \left(\text{tr}(B_n(t)^p) - \mathbb{E}[\text{tr}(B_n(t)^p)] \right) \quad (2)$$

respectively. We will prove that (1) and (2) converge to centered Gaussian families $\{Z_p(t)\}$ and $\{W_p(t)\}$ respectively. The covariance structure $\mathbb{E}[Z_p(t_1)Z_q(t_2)]$ and $\mathbb{E}[W_p(t_1)W_q(t_2)]$ are obtained for all $p, q \geq 2, t_1, t_2 \geq 0$, and are both homogeneous polynomials of t_1 and t_2 for fixed p, q . In particular, $Z_2(t)$ is the Brownian motion and $Z_3(t)$ is the same as $W_2(t)$ up to a constant.

The main method of this paper is the moment method.

1 Introduction

In random matrix theory, one fundamental object is the empirical distribution of eigenvalues. For an n by n real symmetric random matrix T , we use $\mu(T)$ to denote its empirical distribution:

$$\mu(T) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta(x - \lambda_i)$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are the n real eigenvalues of T . The asymptotic behavior of the empirical distribution has played an essential role in random matrix theory since Wigner's semicircle law (see [36] and [37]). [1] and [31] are standard references of the various results in the fifty years after that.

In recent years, random matrices with certain linear structures are well studied. One important example is the random Toeplitz matrix. Bryc, Dembo and Jiang [11] proved the existence of the limit of empirical distribution of symmetric Toeplitz matrix. Hammond and Miller [22] also proved this existence independently. Liu and Wang [29] proved the existence of the limit of empirical distribution of symmetric Toeplitz band matrix. Some other interesting results about random Toeplitz matrices can be found in [5, 8, 9, 26, 30, 34].

The random band matrices have connections with the theory of quantum chaos, see [12] and [20]. For random band matrices of Wigner type, the limit of the empirical distribution was studied in [7, 32]. It is believed that the local statistics has a transition from Poisson statistics to GUE or GOE statistics when the bandwidth crosses $\sqrt{n}$ (see [20]). For recent process on local stabilities of Wigner type band matrices see [18, 19] and the reference therein.

Fluctuations of random matrices is a classical topics in this field now. Some important literature about fluctuations of eigenvalues includes [4, 15, 16, 17, 24, 25, 33, 35]. The first paper concerning fluctuation of random band matrices is [2]. For recent development, see [23, 27]. The fluctuation of random Toeplitz matrices was first studied by Chatterjee [13] in the case where the matrix entries are normally distributed. In [28] Liu, Sun and Wang derived a central limit theorem for the fluctuation of random Toeplitz matrices with general entries.

In this paper we study the fluctuations of two models of random band Toeplitz matrices each of which contains a nonnegative parameter t .

1.1 Fluctuations of linear statistics for matrix model with bandwidth proportional to t

Our first model is the fluctuation of eigenvalues of random band Toeplitz matrix with bandwidth proportional to t . The result of this subsection is inspired by [28].

Let $a_0 = 0$, $\{a_i | i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}\}$ be real random variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[a_i] &= 0, \text{Var}[a_i] = 1, E[|a_i|^4] = \kappa, \\ \mathbb{E}[|a_i|^k] &< C_k < \infty \text{ for } k > 2, \\ a_1, a_2, \dots &\text{ are independent,} \\ a_{-i} &= a_i, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $\kappa \geq 1$. Consider the $n \times n$ band random matrix

$$A_n(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_n}} \left(a_{i-j} \delta_{|i-j| \leq [b_n t]} \right)_{i,j=1}^n$$

where $b_n \leq n$, $b_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ while $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_n}{n} = b \in [0, 1]$.

In [28], Liu, Sun and Wang studied the fluctuation of moments of random band Toeplitz matrices and got the following theorem .

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose $A_n(t)$ is defined as above. Then for any $p \geq 2$, the fluctuation of the p -th moment of $A(1)$ (with rescaling) converges weakly to a Gaussian distribution:*

$$\frac{\sqrt{b_n}}{n} (\text{tr} A(1)^p - \mathbb{E}[\text{tr} A(1)^p]) \rightarrow N(0, \sigma_p^2).$$

The variances σ_p^2 will be given in Remark 3.2. In this paper we consider the time-dependent fluctuation

$$\omega_p(t) := \sqrt{b_n} \left(\int x^p d\mu(A_n(t)) - \mathbb{E} \left[\int x^p d\mu(A_n(t)) \right] \right) = \frac{\sqrt{b_n}}{n} (\text{tr} A_n(t)^p - \mathbb{E}[\text{tr} A_n(t)^p]) \quad (4)$$

as a stochastic process with parameter $t \geq 0$.

For natural numbers p, q and $k \leq \min\{p, q\}$, define $R_1(p, q, k) = \binom{p}{k} \binom{q}{k} k! (p-k-1)!! (q-k-1)!!$, $R_2(p, q) = \frac{pq}{4} (p-1)!! (q-1)!!$. We make the convention that $(-1)!! = 1$ and that $(0, 1/b)$ denotes $(0, \infty)$ when b equals 0. Our first main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *When $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\{\omega_p(t) | p \geq 2\}$ jointly converge to a family of Gaussian processes $\{Z_p(t) | p \geq 2\}$ in the following sense. Suppose*

1. $p_1, \dots, p_r$ are natural numbers no less than 2;
2. $t_1 < \dots < t_r$ are numbers in $(0, 1/b)$;
3. $\{a_1, \dots, a_r\} \subset \mathbb{R}$,

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\omega_{p_1}(t_1) \leq a_1, \dots, \omega_{p_r}(t_r) \leq a_r) = \mathbb{P}(Z_{p_1}(t_1) \leq a_1, \dots, Z_{p_r}(t_r) \leq a_r).$$

The expectation of $Z_p(t)$ is 0 for all $t \geq 0$, $p \geq 2$. The covariance structure of $\{Z_p(t) | p \geq 2\}$ will be given in Section 3. In particular, when $b = 0$, for $p \geq 2$, $q \geq 2$ and $0 < t_1 \leq t_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[Z_p(t_1) Z_q(t_2)] \\ &= \begin{cases} \sum_{k=3,5,\dots,\min\{p,q\}} R_1(p, q, k) t_1^{\frac{p+k}{2}-1} t_2^{\frac{q-k}{2}-1} 2^{\frac{p+q}{2}} & \text{if } p, q \text{ are both odd} \\ \sum_{k=4,6,\dots,\min\{p,q\}} R_1(p, q, k) t_1^{\frac{p+k}{2}-1} t_2^{\frac{q-k}{2}-1} 2^{\frac{p+q}{2}} + (\kappa - 1) R_2(p, q) t_1^{\frac{p}{2}} t_2^{\frac{q}{2}-1} 2^{\frac{p+q}{2}} & \text{if } p, q \text{ are both even} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

which is a homogeneous polynomial of t_1 and t_2 . From the covariance structure, if $b = 0$, then $Z_2(t)$ is the Brownian motion and $\mathbb{E}[Z_3(t_1) Z_3(t_2)] = 48(t_1 \wedge t_2)^2$.

Remark 1.3. From Theorem 1.2 we know that

$$\omega_Q(t) = \frac{\sqrt{b_n}}{n} \left(\text{tr} Q(A_n(t)) - \mathbb{E}[\text{tr} Q(A_n(t))] \right)$$

converges to a Gaussian process where $Q(x) = \sum_{j=2}^m q_j x^j$. The correlation structure of the limit process can be computed via the covariance structure of $\{Z_p(t) | p \geq 2\}$. When $b = 0$, the correlation structure of the limit process can be computed via Equation (5).

1.2 Fluctuations of linear statistics for matrix model with Brownian motion entries

Our second model is the fluctuation of the eigenvalues of the random band Toeplitz matrix with Brownian motion entries.

One important matrix model with Brownian motion entries is the Dyson Brownian motion $H_n(t) = (h_{ij}(t))_{i,j=1}^n$ where $\{h_{ij}(t) | i \geq j\}$ are independent Brownian motions. The Dyson Brownian motion was first studied by Dyson who derived a stochastic differential system for eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $H_n(t)$. Since then the Dyson Brownian motion has been well studied and becomes a very useful tool in random matrix theory. See, for example, [1].

Our model also has Brownian motions as matrix entries, but with a Toeplitz structure.

Let $a_0(t) \equiv 0$ and $a_1(t), a_2(t), \dots$ be independent standard Brownian motions with time t . Set $a_{-i}(t) = a_i(t)$. Suppose $b_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $b_n = o(n)$. Consider the $n \times n$ random band matrix

$$B_n(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_n}} \left(a_{i-j}(t) \delta_{|i-j| \leq b_n} \right)_{i,j=1}^n. \quad (6)$$

For any integer $p \geq 2$, define the time dependent fluctuations in the same way as (4), i.e.,

$$\omega_p(t) := \frac{\sqrt{b_n}}{n} \left(\text{tr}(B_n(t)^p) - \mathbb{E}[\text{tr}(B_n(t)^p)] \right). \quad (7)$$

For natural numbers p, q and $k \leq \min\{p, q\}$, define $R_3(p, r) = (p+r-1)!! - (p-1)!!(r-1)!!$, $R_4(p, r) = (p+r-1)!! - pr(p-2)!!(r-2)!!$. We make the convention that $(-1)!! = 1$. Our second main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4. *Assume $b_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $b_n = o(n)$. When $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\{\omega_p(t) | p \geq 2\}$ jointly converge to a family of Gaussian processes $\{W_p(t) | p \geq 2\}$ in the following sense. Suppose $p_1 \geq 2, \dots, p_r \geq 2$, $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_r$ and $\{a_1, \dots, a_r\} \subset \mathbb{R}$, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\omega_{p_1}(t_1) \leq a_1, \dots, \omega_{p_r}(t_r) \leq a_r) = \mathbb{P}(W_{p_1}(t_1) \leq a_1, \dots, W_{p_r}(t_r) \leq a_r).$$

The expectation of $W_p(t)$ is 0 for all $t \geq 0$, $p \geq 2$. For $p \geq 2$, $q \geq 2$ and $0 < t_1 \leq t_2$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\omega_p(t_1)\omega_q(t_2)] = \begin{cases} \sum_{r=2,4,\dots,q} \binom{q}{r} t_1^{\frac{p+r}{2}} R_3(p, r) (q-r-1)!! (t_2 - t_1)^{\frac{q-r}{2}} 2^{\frac{p+q}{2}} & \text{if } p \text{ and } q \text{ are both even} \\ \sum_{r=3,5,\dots,q} \binom{q}{r} t_1^{\frac{p+r}{2}} R_4(p, r) (q-r-1)!! (t_2 - t_1)^{\frac{q-r}{2}} 2^{\frac{p+q}{2}} & \text{if } p \text{ and } q \text{ are both odd} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

which is a homogeneous polynomial of t_1 and t_2 . Directly from the covariance structure, if $p = 2$, then $\mathbb{E}[W_2(t_1)W_2(t_2)] = 8(t_1 \wedge t_2)^2$; if $p = 3$, then $\mathbb{E}[W_3(t_1)W_3(t_2)] = 48(t_1 \wedge t_2)^3$. In particular, the limit process $Z_3(t)$ in Theorem 1.2 equals $\sqrt{6}W_2(t)$ when $b = 0$.

Remark 1.5. Similarly as in Remark 1.3, from Theorem 1.4 we know that for $Q(x) = \sum_{j=2}^p q_j x^j$,

$$\omega_Q(t) = \frac{\sqrt{b_n}}{n} \left(\text{tr} Q(B_n(t)) - \mathbb{E}[\text{tr} Q(B_n(t))] \right)$$

converge to a Gaussian process whose correlation structure can be computed via Equation (8).

Remark 1.6. It will be clear from our proof that Theorem 1.4 can be generalized to a stronger version. If $a_1(t), a_2(t), \dots$ are independent copies of S_t which is a stochastic process such that

1. S_t has independent increments;
2. for all $t \geq 0$, S_t is centered with finite moments,

then $\{\omega_p(t) | p \geq 2\}$ also jointly converge to a family of centered Gaussian process whose covariance structure can be obtained in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1.4.

1.3 Outline

Section 2 and Appendix A provide some preliminary concepts and results. Theorem 1.2 is proved in Section 3. We first study the asymptotic covariance structure of $\omega_p(t)$ by the moment method in Section 3.1 and prove Theorem 1.2 by showing that $(\omega_{p_1}(t_1), \dots, \omega_{p_r}(t_r))$ converges weakly to a centered multivariate Gaussian distribution in Section 3.2.

Theorem 1.4 is proved in Section 4. We first prove an “asymptotic commutativity” lemma for random band Toeplitz matrices with slowly growing bandwidth in Section 4.1. Then we study the asymptotic covariance structure of $\omega_p(t)$ by the moment method in Section 4.2. In Section 4.3, we complete the proof by showing that $(\omega_{p_1}(t_1), \dots, \omega_{p_r}(t_r))$ converges weakly to a centered multivariate Gaussian distribution.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we give some notations and facts that we use throughout the paper.

2.1 Trace formula

In [28] Lemma 3.2, Liu, Sun and Wang proved a useful trace formula of the product of band Toeplitz matrices. The trace lemma requires the matrices to have the same bandwidth, but their proof also applies for the case that the matrices have different bandwidths. Therefore the trace lemma can be generalized to the following version.

Lemma 2.1. Consider band Toeplitz matrices $T_{l,n} = (a_{l,i-j} \delta_{i-j \leq b_n^{(l)}})_{i,j=1}^n$ where $a_{l,-n+1}, \dots, a_{l,n-1}$ are real numbers, $b_n^{(l)}$ is the bandwidth of $T_{l,n}$ and $l = 1, \dots, p$. We have the trace formula

$$\text{tr}(T_{1,n} \cdots T_{p,n}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\mathbf{J}} a_{\mathbf{J}} I(i, \mathbf{J}) \delta\left(\sum_{l=1}^p j_l\right). \quad (9)$$

Here $\mathbf{J} = (j_1, \dots, j_p)$, $a_{\mathbf{J}} = \prod_{l=1}^p a_{l,j_l}$, δ is the Dirac function and the summation $\sum_{\mathbf{J}}$ runs over all possibilities that $\mathbf{J} \in \{-b_n^{(1)}, \dots, b_n^{(1)}\} \times \dots \times \{-b_n^{(p)}, \dots, b_n^{(p)}\}$.

2.2 Partition

In this section we define various types of partitions. We suggest readers to skip these definitions for a second and refer to them when they are needed in the following sections.

Let $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. We call $\pi = \{V_1, \dots, V_r\}$ a partition of $[n]$ if $V_1, \dots, V_r$ are pairwise disjoint, nonempty subsets of $[n]$ such that $[n] = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_r$. For $\forall i \in [n]$, define $\pi(i) = j$ if $i \in V_j$.

We call π a pair partition of $[2k]$ if it's a partition of $[2k]$ and each element of π contains two elements of $[2k]$. So a pair partition must have the form $\pi = \{\{a_1, b_1\}, \dots, \{a_k, b_k\}\}$. For such a π we write $a_u \sim_\pi b_u$ ($1 \leq u \leq k$). Since such a π can be seen as a permutation: $(a_1, b_1) \cdots (a_k, b_k)$, we define $g(\pi)$ to be the number of orbits of the permutation $\gamma_0 \circ \pi$ where $\gamma_0 = (1, 2, \dots, 2k-1, 2k)$ is the canonical cycle. We denote by $\mathcal{P}_2(2k)$ the set of pair partitions of $[2k]$.

Suppose p and q are natural numbers and $p+q$ is even. Suppose

$$\pi = \{\{a_1, b_1\}, \dots, \{a_{(p+q)/2}, b_{(p+q)/2}\}\} \in \mathcal{P}_2(p+q).$$

If a block $\{a_i, b_i\}$ of π has one element in $\{1, \dots, p\}$ and one element in $\{p+1, \dots, p+q\}$, then we call $\{a_i, b_i\}$ a cross of π .

Suppose p and q are natural numbers and $p+q$ is even. We define $\mathcal{P}_2(p, q)$ to be the subset of $\mathcal{P}_2(p+q)$ such that each π in $\mathcal{P}_2(p, q)$ has at least one cross, i.e., there are $i \sim_\pi j$ such that $i \leq p < j$. If $p+q$ is odd, then $\mathcal{P}_2(p, q)$ is defined to be $\emptyset$.

Suppose p and q are natural numbers and $p+q$ is even. Define $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_2(p, q)$ to be the subset of $\mathcal{P}_2(p, q)$ consisting of permutations with at least three crosses. If $p+q$ is odd, then $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_2(p, q)$ is defined to be $\emptyset$.

Suppose p, q are both even numbers. We use $\mathcal{P}_{2,4}(p, q)$ to denote the set of partitions of $p+q$ such that each $\pi = \{V_1, \dots, V_r\}$ in $\mathcal{P}_{2,4}(p, q)$ satisfies:

- (i) $\exists i$ such that V_i has 4 elements while other V_j has 2 elements each;
- (ii) if $j \neq i$, then $V_j \subset \{1, \dots, p\}$ or $V_j \subset \{p+1, \dots, p+q\}$;
- (iii) two elements of V_i come from $\{1, \dots, p\}$ and the other two come from $\{p+1, \dots, p+q\}$.

If p and q are not both even, then we define $\mathcal{P}_{2,4}(p, q)$ to be empty.

2.3 Balanced vector and cluster

Definition 2.2. A vector $\mathbf{J} = (j_1, \dots, j_k)$ is called a balanced vector if the sum of its components is 0:

$$j_1 + \dots + j_k = 0.$$

Definition 2.3. For a vector $\mathbf{v} = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$, set $S_{\mathbf{v}} = \{|a_1|, \dots, |a_k|\}$ which is a set of non-negative numbers with multiplicity. Two vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2$ are called correlated if $S_{\mathbf{v}_1}$ and $S_{\mathbf{v}_2}$ have at least one common element. If a_i is a component of $\mathbf{v}_1$ and $|a_i| \in S_{\mathbf{v}_1} \cap S_{\mathbf{v}_2}$, then a_i is called a joint point of $\mathbf{v}_1$ and $\mathbf{v}_2$.

Definition 2.4. Given a set of vectors $\{\mathbf{J}_1, \dots, \mathbf{J}_r\}$. Suppose the components of each vector are real numbers. A subset $\mathbf{J}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{J}_{i_s}$ is called a cluster if

1. for any pair $\mathbf{J}_{i_u}, \mathbf{J}_{i_v}$ from the subset one can find a chain of vectors, also from the subset, which starts with $\mathbf{J}_{i_u}$, ends with $\mathbf{J}_{i_v}$, such that any two neighboring vectors in the chain are correlated;

2. the subset cannot be enlarged with the preservation of condition 1.

We call s the length of this cluster.

The following lemma tells us that the number of clusters with length longer than 2 is very small. It was stated and proved in [28].

Lemma 2.5. Suppose $l > 2$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = +\infty$. Set $\mathcal{B}_{n,p}$ to be

$$\{(j_1, \dots, j_p) \in \{\pm 1, \dots, \pm b_n\}^p | j_1 + \dots + j_p = 0\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{B}_p$ be a subset of $\mathcal{B}_{n,p_1} \times \dots \times \mathcal{B}_{n,p_l}$ such that $(\mathbf{J}_1, \dots, \mathbf{J}_l) \in \mathcal{B}_p$ if and only if:

- 1) each element of $\cup_{k=1}^l S_{\mathbf{J}_k}$ has at least multiplicity two;
- 2) $\mathbf{J}_1, \dots, \mathbf{J}_l$ makes a cluster.

Then we have that

$$|\mathcal{B}_p| = o(b_n^{\frac{p_1 + \dots + p_l - l}{2}})$$

where $|\mathcal{B}_p|$ is the cardinality of $\mathcal{B}_p$.

3 Fluctuation of eigenvalues for matrix with bandwidth proportional to t

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 1.2.

3.1 Covariance structure of $\omega_p(t)$

The asymptotic covariance structure of $\omega_p(t)$ is given by Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.1. Suppose all assumptions of Theorem 1.2 hold. If $t_1 \leq t_2$ are positive numbers in $(0, 1/b)$ and p, q are natural numbers no less than 2, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\omega_p(t_1)\omega_q(t_2)] \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_2(p,q)} \left(\tilde{f}_I^-(\pi, t_1, t_2) + \tilde{f}_I^+(\pi, t_1, t_2) \right) + (\kappa - 1) \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_{2,4}(p,q)} \left(\tilde{f}_{II}^-(\pi, t_1, t_2) + \tilde{f}_{II}^+(\pi, t_1, t_2) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega_p(t_1), \omega_q(t_2)$ are defined in (4) of Section 1.1. The integrals $\tilde{f}_I^\pm(\pi, t_1, t_2)$ and $\tilde{f}_{II}^\pm(\pi, t_1, t_2)$ are defined in Appendix A.

Remark 3.2. From Lemma 3.1, the σ_p^2 which appeared in Theorem 1.1 is

$$\sigma_p^2 = \sum_{\pi \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_2(p,p)} \left(\tilde{f}_I^-(\pi, 1, 1) + \tilde{f}_I^+(\pi, 1, 1) \right) + (\kappa - 1) \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_{2,4}(p,p)} \left(\tilde{f}_{II}^-(\pi, 1, 1) + \tilde{f}_{II}^+(\pi, 1, 1) \right)$$

Proof of Lemma 3.1. By Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{tr} A_n(t_1)^p &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_n}}\right)^p \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_p = -[b_n t_1]}^{[b_n t_1]} a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_p} \prod_{l=1}^p I_{[1, n]}(i + \sum_{r=1}^l j_r) \delta\left(\sum_{r=1}^p j_r\right), \\ \mathrm{tr} A_n(t_2)^q &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_n}}\right)^q \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_q = -[b_n t_2]}^{[b_n t_2]} a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_q} \prod_{l=1}^q I_{[1, n]}(i + \sum_{r=1}^l j_r) \delta\left(\sum_{r=1}^q j_r\right).\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[\omega_p(t_1)\omega_q(t_2)] &= \left(\frac{\sqrt{b_n}}{n}\right)^2 \mathbb{E}[(\mathrm{tr} A_n(t_1)^p - \mathbb{E}[\mathrm{tr} A_n(t_1)^p])(\mathrm{tr} A_n(t_2)^q - \mathbb{E}[\mathrm{tr} A_n(t_2)^q])] \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{1}{b_n^{\frac{p+q}{2}-1}} \sum_{i_1, i_2=1}^n \sum_{\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{J}'} \mathbb{E}[(a_{\mathbf{J}} - \mathbb{E}a_{\mathbf{J}})(a_{\mathbf{J}'} - \mathbb{E}a_{\mathbf{J}'})] I(i_1, \mathbf{J}) I(i_2, \mathbf{J}') \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{1}{b_n^{\frac{p+q}{2}-1}} \sum_{i_1, i_2=1}^n \sum_{\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{J}'} [\mathbb{E}[a_{\mathbf{J}} a_{\mathbf{J}'}] - \mathbb{E}a_{\mathbf{J}} \mathbb{E}a_{\mathbf{J}'}] I(i_1, \mathbf{J}) I(i_2, \mathbf{J}')\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

where $\mathbf{J} := (j_1, \dots, j_p)$ which runs over $\{\mathbf{J} \in \{\pm 1, \dots, \pm [b_n t_1]\}^p | j_1 + \dots + j_p = 0\}$, $\mathbf{J}' := (j'_1, \dots, j'_q)$ which runs over $\{\mathbf{J}' \in \{\pm 1, \dots, \pm [b_n t_2]\}^q | j'_1 + \dots + j'_q = 0\}$, $a_{\mathbf{J}} := a_{j_1} \cdots a_{j_p}$, $a_{\mathbf{J}'} := a_{j'_1} \cdots a_{j'_q}$, $I(i_1, \mathbf{J}) := \prod_{r=1}^p I_{[1, n]}(i_1 + \sum_{r=1}^l j_r)$ and $I(i_2, \mathbf{J}') := \prod_{r=1}^q I_{[1, n]}(i_2 + \sum_{r=1}^l j'_r)$. The components of $\mathbf{J}$ and $\mathbf{J}'$ do not take the value of 0 since $a_0 = 0$.

Notice that $\mathbb{E}[\omega_p(t_1)\omega_q(t_2)]$ has the same expression as $\mathbb{E}[\omega_p\omega_q]$ in Section 4 of [28], only except that in the expression of $\mathbb{E}[\omega_p\omega_q]$ there $\mathbf{J}$ runs over $\{\{\pm 1, \dots, \pm b_n\}^p | j_1 + \dots + j_p = 0\}$ and $\mathbf{J}'$ runs over $\{\{\pm 1, \dots, \pm b_n\}^q | j'_1 + \dots + j'_q = 0\}$. But this difference does not cause much problem. So we can use the same method as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 of [28] to compute the limit of $\mathbb{E}[\omega_p(t_1)\omega_q(t_2)]$.

First recall some definitions given in Section 2.3. For a vector $\mathbf{v} = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$, set $S_{\mathbf{v}} = \{|a_1|, \dots, |a_k|\}$. $S_{\mathbf{v}}$ is a set of non-negative numbers with multiplicity. Two vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2$ are called correlated if $S_{\mathbf{v}_1}$ and $S_{\mathbf{v}_2}$ have at least one common element. If a_i is a component of $\mathbf{v}_1$ and $|a_i| \in S_{\mathbf{v}_1} \cap S_{\mathbf{v}_2}$, then a_i is called a joint point of $\mathbf{v}_1$ and $\mathbf{v}_2$. A vector is called balanced if the sum of its components is 0.

So by independence of the random variables, a term $\mathbb{E}[a_{\mathbf{J}} a_{\mathbf{J}'}] - \mathbb{E}a_{\mathbf{J}} \mathbb{E}a_{\mathbf{J}'}$ in (10) is not 0 only when each element of $S_{\mathbf{J}} \cup S_{\mathbf{J}'}$ has multiplicity 2 or more.

Most of the following parts of this proof are taken from the proof of Theorem 4.1 of [28].

We first construct a map from the ordered correlated pair $\mathbf{J} = (j_1, \dots, j_p)$ and $\mathbf{J}' = (j'_1, \dots, j'_q)$ as follows. Let $j_u \in \mathbf{J}$ be the first joint point (whose subscript is the smallest) of the ordered correlated pair $\mathbf{J}$ and $\mathbf{J}'$, and let j'_v be the first element in $\mathbf{J}'$ such that $|j_u| = |j'_v|$. If $j_u = -j'_v$, we construct a vector $\mathbf{L} = (l_1, \dots, l_{p+q-2})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}l_1 &= j_1, \dots, l_{u-1} = j_{u-1}, l_u = j'_1, \dots, l_{u+v-2} = j'_{v-1}, \\ l_{u+v-1} &= j'_{v+1}, \dots, l_{u+q-2} = j'_q, l_{u+q-1} = j_{u+1}, \dots, l_{p+q-2} = j_p.\end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p+q-2} l_k = 0,$$

so $\mathbf{L}$ is balanced. If $j_u = j'_v$, then from $\mathbf{J}$ and $-\mathbf{J}' = (-j'_1, \dots, -j'_q)$ we proceed in the way as above. We call this process of constructing $\mathbf{L}$ from $\mathbf{J}$ and $\mathbf{J}'$ a *reduction* step and denote it by $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{J} \bigvee_{|j_u|} \mathbf{J}'$.

Notice that the reduction might cause the appearance of one number with multiplicity 1 in $S_{\mathbf{L}}$, although each number in the union of $S_{\mathbf{J}}$ and $S_{\mathbf{J}'}$ occurs at least twice. If so, the resulting number with multiplicity 1 in $S_{\mathbf{L}}$ must be coincident with the joint point j_u .

Next, suppose we have a balanced vector $\mathbf{L}$ of dimension $(p+q-2)$. We shall estimate in how many different ways it can be obtained from correlated pairs of dimensions p and q . First, we have to choose some component l_u in the first half of the vector, $1 \leq u \leq p$ such that

$$\left| \sum_{i=u}^{u+q-2} l_i \right| \neq |l_j|, \quad j = 1, \dots, u-1. \quad (11)$$

Set $\mathbf{J} = (j_1, \dots, j_p)$ with

$$j_1 = l_1, \dots, j_{u-1} = l_{u-1}, j_u = \sum_{i=u}^{u+q-2} l_i, j_{u+1} = l_{u+q-1}, \dots, j_p = l_{p+q-2}. \quad (12)$$

We also have to choose some component l_{u+v-1} , $1 \leq v \leq q-1$ such that

$$\left| \sum_{i=u}^{u+q-2} l_i \right| \neq |l_j|, \quad j = u, \dots, u+v-2 \quad (13)$$

whenever $v \geq 2$. Set $\mathbf{J}' = (j'_1, \dots, j'_q)$ with

$$j'_1 = l_u, \dots, j'_{v-1} = l_{u+v-2}, j'_v = - \sum_{i=u}^{u+q-2} l_i, j'_{v+1} = l_{u+v-1}, \dots, j'_p = l_{u+q-2}. \quad (14)$$

If j_u is the joint point of the constructed correlated pair $\mathbf{J}$ and $\mathbf{J}'$ and j'_v is the corresponding element in $\mathbf{J}'$, then the pair $\{\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{J}'\}$ or $\{\mathbf{J}, -\mathbf{J}'\}$ is the pre-image of $\mathbf{L}$. Note that since when $u = v = 1$ the conditions (11) and (13) are satisfied, the pre-image of $\mathbf{L}$ is always nonempty. A simple estimation shows the following claim.

Claim 1: The number of pre-images of $\mathbf{L}$ is at most $2pq$, a number not depending on n .

Remember that there is at most one element with multiplicity 1 in $S_{\mathbf{L}}$. If there is one number with multiplicity 1, then this number will be determined by others because $\mathbf{L}$ is balanced. Consequently, the degree of freedom for such terms is at most $\frac{p+q-2-1}{2}$, i.e., the number of such $(\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{J}')$ is $O(b_n^{\frac{p+q-2-1}{2}})$. Therefore, the contribution of these terms to $\mathbb{E}[\omega_p(t_1)\omega_q(t_2)]$ is $O(b_n^{-1/2}) = o(1)$ because of the uniform boundedness of the moments of the entries a_j with order no more than $p+q$ and the coefficient $(b_n^{\frac{p+q}{2}-1})^{-1}$ in (10). Now we suppose each number in $S_{\mathbf{L}}$ occurs at least twice.

Thus $S_{\mathbf{L}}$ has at most $\lceil \frac{p+q-2}{2} \rceil$ distinct elements. When elements of $S_{\mathbf{L}}$ are specified, there are no more than

$$2^{p+q-2} \lceil \frac{p+q-2}{2} \rceil^{p+q-2}$$

ways to specify $l_1, \dots, l_{p+q-2}$ and the above number does not depend on n . Again by the uniform boundedness of the moments of the entries a_j with order no more than $p+q$,

$$\frac{1}{b_n^{\frac{p+q}{2}-1}} \sum_{\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{J}'} [\mathbb{E}[a_{\mathbf{J}} a_{\mathbf{J}'}] - \mathbb{E} a_{\mathbf{J}} \mathbb{E} a_{\mathbf{J}'}] = O(b_n^{\lceil \frac{p+q-2}{2} \rceil - \frac{p+q-2}{2}}).$$

This implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\omega_p(t_1) \omega_q(t_2)] = 0$$

when $p+q$ is odd. So we only need to consider the case that $p+q$ is even. If $S_{\mathbf{L}}$ has a term with multiplicity no less than 3, then $S_{\mathbf{L}}$ has at most $\lceil \frac{p+q-2-3}{2} \rceil + 1$ distinct numbers, thus the contribution of such terms to $\mathbb{E}[\omega_p(t_1) \omega_q(t_2)]$ is again $o(1)$.

Therefore we only need to deal with the case that each element of $S_{\mathbf{L}}$ has multiplicity 2, thus there exists $\pi \in \mathcal{P}_2(p+q-2)$ (see Section 2.2) such that if $s \sim_{\pi} w$ then $|l_s| = |l_w|$.

The condition

$$l_1 + \dots + l_{p+q-2} = 0$$

implies that the main contribution to $\mathbb{E}[\omega_p(t_1) \omega_q(t_2)]$ comes from the case that for each pair $s \sim_{\pi} w$,

$$l_s = -l_w.$$

That's because otherwise there exists s_0, w_0 such that

$$l_{s_0} = l_{w_0} = -\frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{t \in [p+q-2] \setminus \{s_0, w_0\}} l_t \right]$$

which implies that the value of l_{s_0} and l_{w_0} will be determined by the value of $l_t, t \notin \{s_0, w_0\}$. Therefore there is a loss of at least one degree of freedom, which makes the contribution of such terms be $o(1)$. Then we have:

Claim 2: The main contribution to (10) comes from the case that $p+q$ is even and $\mathbf{L} \in \Gamma_1(p+q-2)$.

Here $\Gamma_1(p+q-2)$ denotes a set of vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{p+q-2}$: a vector is in $\Gamma_1(p+q-2)$ if and only if each of its components has the same absolute value as exactly one other component of the opposite sign. For $\mathbf{L} \in \Gamma_1(p+q-2)$ the weight

$$\mathbb{E}(a_{\mathbf{J}} a_{\mathbf{J}'}) - \mathbb{E}[a_{\mathbf{J}}] \mathbb{E}[a_{\mathbf{J}'}] = \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{s=1}^p a_{j_s} \prod_{t=1}^q a_{j'_t} \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{s=1}^p a_{j_s} \right] \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{t=1}^q a_{j'_t} \right] \quad (15)$$

equals to $(\mathbb{E}[a_i^2])^{\frac{p+q}{2}}$ if j_u is not coincident with any component of $\mathbf{L}$; otherwise the weight is either $\mathbb{E}[|a_{j_u}|^4] (\mathbb{E}[a_i^2])^{\frac{p+q-4}{2}}$ or $\mathbb{E}[|a_{j_u}|^4] (\mathbb{E}[a_i^2])^{\frac{p+q-4}{2}} - (\mathbb{E}[a_i^2])^{\frac{p+q}{2}}$.

So far we have found the terms leading to the main contribution. Now we calculate the variance based on whether or not the fourth moment appears. If the fourth moment doesn't appear, then $j_1, \dots, j_p, j'_1, \dots, j'_q$ match in pairs. In the abstract, by their subscripts they can be treated as pair partitions of $\{1, 2, \dots, p, p+1, \dots, p+q\}$ but with at least one cross (i.e., in $\mathcal{P}_2(p, q)$). Thus, for every $\pi \in \mathcal{P}_2(p, q)$, the summation can be a Riemann sum and its limit becomes $f_I^-(\pi)$ (it is $\tilde{f}_I^+(\pi)$)

when the first coincident components in $\mathbf{J}$ and $\mathbf{J}'$ have the same sign). If π has only one cross, say $w_1 \sim_\pi w_2$ with $w_1 \leq p < w_2$, then $j_{w_1} = j'_{w_2} = 0$ since $\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{J}'$ are balanced. Thus

$$\mathbb{E}[a_{\mathbf{J}} a_{\mathbf{J}'}] - \mathbb{E}[a_{\mathbf{J}}] \mathbb{E}[a_{\mathbf{J}'}] = 0$$

because $a_0 = 0$. If π has two crosses, say $u_1 \sim_\pi u_2$ with $u_1 \leq p < u_2$ and $v_1 \sim_\pi v_2$ with $v_1 \leq p < v_2$, then $|j_{u_1}| = |j'_{u_2}| = |j_{v_1}| = |j'_{v_2}|$ and the fourth moment κ must appear in $\mathbb{E}[a_{\mathbf{J}} a_{\mathbf{J}'}] - \mathbb{E}[a_{\mathbf{J}}] \mathbb{E}[a_{\mathbf{J}'}]$. So we should only consider the permutations in $\mathcal{P}_2(p, q)$ with at least 3 crosses for the case that the fourth moment does not appear. In other words, we should only consider permutations in $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_2(p, q)$ (see Section 2.2) for this case.

On the other hand, if the fourth moment does appear, then $j_1, \dots, j_p, j'_1, \dots, j'_q$ match in pairs except that there exists a block with four elements. Therefore, from the balance of $\mathbf{J}$ and $\mathbf{J}'$ we know that the main contribution comes from such partitions: $j_1, \dots, j_p$ and $j'_1, \dots, j'_q$ both form pair partitions; the block with four elements take respectively from a pair of $j_1, \dots, j_p$ and $j'_1, \dots, j'_q$. Otherwise, the degree of freedom decreases by at least one. So by their subscripts $j_1, \dots, j_p, j'_1, \dots, j'_q$ can be treated as partitions in $\mathcal{P}_{2,4}(p, q)$. Similarly, for every $\pi \in \mathcal{P}_{2,4}(p, q)$, the corresponding summation can be a Riemann sum and its limit becomes $\tilde{f}_{II}^-(\pi)$ (it is $\tilde{f}_{II}^+(\pi)$ when the first coincident components in $\mathbf{J}$ and $\mathbf{J}'$ have the same sign).

Noticing that the coincident components in $\mathbf{J}$ and $\mathbf{J}'$ may have the same or opposite sign, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\omega_p(t_1) \omega_q(t_2)] \\ &= (\mathbb{E}[a_i^2])^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \left[\sum_{\pi \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_2(p, q)} \left(\tilde{f}_I^-(\pi, t_1, t_2) + \tilde{f}_I^+(\pi, t_1, t_2) \right) \right] \\ &+ \left(\mathbb{E}[|a_{j_u}|^4] (\mathbb{E}[a_i^2])^{\frac{p+q-4}{2}} - (\mathbb{E}[a_i^2])^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \right) \left[\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_{2,4}(p, q)} \left(\tilde{f}_{II}^-(\pi, t_1, t_2) + \tilde{f}_{II}^+(\pi, t_1, t_2) \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Since $\mathbb{E}[a_i^2] = 1$ and $\mathbb{E}[a_i^4] = \kappa$ for all $i \neq 0$, the lemma is proved. $\square$

Proposition 3.3. Suppose $b = 0$. Let p, q be natural numbers greater than 1 and $0 < t_1 \leq t_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\omega_p(t_1) \omega_q(t_2)] \\ &= \begin{cases} \sum_{k=3,5,\dots,\min\{p,q\}} R_1(p, q, k) t_1^{\frac{p+k}{2}-1} t_2^{\frac{q-k}{2}} 2^{\frac{p+q}{2}} & \text{if } p, q \text{ are both odd} \\ \sum_{k=4,6,\dots,\min\{p,q\}} R_1(p, q, k) t_1^{\frac{p+k}{2}-1} t_2^{\frac{q-k}{2}} 2^{\frac{p+q}{2}} + (\kappa - 1) R_2(p, q) t_1^{\frac{p}{2}} t_2^{\frac{q}{2}-1} 2^{\frac{p+q}{2}} & \text{if } p, q \text{ are both even} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For a finite set Φ , we use $|\Phi|$ to denote its cardinality.

When p, q are both odd, $\mathcal{P}_{2,4}(p, q) = \emptyset$. So from Lemma 3.1

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\omega_p(t_1) \omega_q(t_2)] = \sum_{\pi \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_2(p, q)} \left(\tilde{f}_I^-(\pi, t_1, t_2) + \tilde{f}_I^+(\pi, t_1, t_2) \right).$$

It's easy to see that for $\pi \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_2(p, q)$, the number of crosses of π can only be a number in $\{3, 5, \dots, \min\{p, q\}\}$ since p, q are odd. Thus from lemma A.2 we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\omega_p(t_1) \omega_q(t_2)] = \sum_{k=3,5,\dots,\min\{p,q\}} 2^{\frac{p+q}{2}} t_1^{\binom{p+k}{2}-1} t_2^{\binom{q+k}{2}} \cdot \left| \left\{ \pi \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_2(p, q) \mid \pi \text{ has } k \text{ crosses} \right\} \right|.$$

Simple enumeration shows that $\left| \left\{ \pi \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_2(p, q) \mid \pi \text{ has } k \text{ crosses} \right\} \right|$ is $\binom{p}{k} \binom{q}{k} k! (p-k-1)!! (q-k-1)!!$.

Recalling $R_1(p, q, k) = \binom{p}{k} \binom{q}{k} k! (p-k-1)!! (q-k-1)!!$, we proved the case when p, q are both odd.

When p, q are both even, then the number of crosses of a permutation $\pi \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_2(p, q)$ can only be a number in $\{4, 6, \dots, \min\{p, q\}\}$. So from lemma A.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\omega_p(t_1) \omega_q(t_2)] \\ &= \sum_{k=4,6,\dots,\min\{p,q\}} 2^{\frac{p+q}{2}} t_1^{\binom{p+k}{2}-1} t_2^{\binom{q+k}{2}} \cdot \left| \left\{ \pi \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_2(p, q) \mid \pi \text{ has } k \text{ crosses} \right\} \right| \\ &+ (\kappa - 1) 2^{\frac{p+q}{2}} t_1^{\binom{p}{2}} t_2^{\binom{q}{2}-1} \cdot \left| \mathcal{P}_{2,4}(p, q) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

By simple enumeration we have that $\left| \mathcal{P}_{2,4}(p, q) \right|$ equals $\binom{p}{2} \binom{q}{2} (p-3)!! (q-3)!! = \frac{pq}{4} (p-1)!! (q-1)!!$.

Similarly as in the case of odd p, q we have $\left| \left\{ \pi \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_2(p, q) \mid \pi \text{ has } k \text{ crosses} \right\} \right| = \binom{p}{k} \binom{q}{k} k! (p-k-1)!! (q-k-1)!!$. Using the definitions of $R_1(p, q, k)$ and $R_2(p, q, k)$ we complete the proof. $\square$

3.2 Multi-point fluctuation

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The main idea of the proof is to show that the joint moments of $(\omega_{p_1}(t_1), \dots, \omega_{p_r}(t_r))$ converge to the joint moments of a multivariate Gaussian distribution $(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_r})$.

Step 1

By Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[\omega_{p_1}(t_1) \cdots \omega_{p_r}(t_r)] \\ &= n^{-r} b_n^{-\frac{p_1 + \dots + p_r - r}{2}} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_r=1}^n \sum_{\mathbf{J}_1, \dots, \mathbf{J}_r} \mathbb{E}[(a_{\mathbf{J}_1} - \mathbb{E}a_{\mathbf{J}_1}) \cdots (a_{\mathbf{J}_r} - \mathbb{E}a_{\mathbf{J}_r})] I(i_1, \mathbf{J}_1) \cdots I(i_r, \mathbf{J}_r) \end{aligned}$$

where each $\mathbf{J}_k = (j_1(k), \dots, j_{p_k}(k))$ which runs over

$$\left\{ (j_1(k), \dots, j_{p_k}(k)) \in \{\pm 1, \dots, \pm [b_n t_k]\}^{p_k} \mid j_1(k) + \dots + j_{p_k}(k) = 0 \right\}, \quad (17)$$

$a_{\mathbf{J}_k} = a_{j_1(k)} \cdots a_{j_{p_k}(k)}$ and $I(i_k, \mathbf{J}_k) = \prod_{s=1}^{p_k} I_{[1,n]}(i_k + j_1(k) + \cdots + j_s(k))$.

Step 2

We have the following observations.

1. The set (17) is a subset of

$$\left\{ (j_1(i), \dots, j_{p_i}(i)) \in \{\pm 1, \dots, \pm b_n\}^{p_i} \mid j_1(i) + \cdots + j_{p_i}(i) = 0 \right\}.$$

2. For fixed $\mathbf{J}_1, \dots, \mathbf{J}_r$, if there exists $1 \leq i \leq r$ such that $\mathbf{J}_i$ is correlated with none of the others of $\mathbf{J}_1, \dots, \mathbf{J}_r$, then $\mathbb{E}[(a_{\mathbf{J}_1} - \mathbb{E}a_{\mathbf{J}_1}) \cdots (a_{\mathbf{J}_r} - \mathbb{E}a_{\mathbf{J}_r})] = 0$ because of the independence of $a_1, a_2, \dots$.
3. When $\mathbf{J}_1, \dots, \mathbf{J}_r$ vary, $\mathbb{E}[(a_{\mathbf{J}_1} - \mathbb{E}a_{\mathbf{J}_1}) \cdots (a_{\mathbf{J}_r} - \mathbb{E}a_{\mathbf{J}_r})]$ is bounded because of the boundedness of the moments of $a_1, a_2, \dots$. In other words, there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$|\mathbb{E}[(a_{\mathbf{J}_1} - \mathbb{E}a_{\mathbf{J}_1}) \cdots (a_{\mathbf{J}_r} - \mathbb{E}a_{\mathbf{J}_r})]| \leq M$$

holds uniformly.

Step 3

Consider $\{\mathbf{J}_1, \dots, \mathbf{J}_r\}$ where each $\mathbf{J}_i$ is from (17). It can always decompose into several clusters (see Section 2.3), say $\mathbf{C}_1, \dots, \mathbf{C}_d$. If one of the clusters has length 1, then from the independence of $a_0, a_1, \dots$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}[(a_{\mathbf{J}_1} - \mathbb{E}a_{\mathbf{J}_1}) \cdots (a_{\mathbf{J}_r} - \mathbb{E}a_{\mathbf{J}_r})] = 0.$$

If a cluster $\mathbf{C}_i$ has two vectors, say $\mathbf{C}_i = \{\mathbf{J}_u, \mathbf{J}_v\}$, then by the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 3.1 we know the number of ways we specify $\mathbf{J}_u$ and $\mathbf{J}_v$ is $O(b_n^{(p_u+p_v-2)/2})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore the contribution of $\mathbf{C}_i$ to $\mathbb{E}[\omega_{p_1}(t_1) \cdots \omega_{p_r}(t_r)]$ is $O(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If a cluster $\mathbf{C}_k$ has more than two vectors, say $\mathbf{C}_k = \{\mathbf{J}_{k_1}, \dots, \mathbf{J}_{k_w}\}$ ($w > 2$), then from Lemma 2.5, the number of ways we specify its vectors is $o(b_n^{(p_{k_1} + \cdots + p_{k_w} - w)/2})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So from the above Observation 3, the contribution of $\mathbf{C}_k$ to $\mathbb{E}[\omega_{p_1}(t_1) \cdots \omega_{p_r}(t_r)]$ is $o(1)$.

Therefore the contribution of $\{\mathbf{J}_1, \dots, \mathbf{J}_r\}$ to $\mathbb{E}[\omega_{p_1}(t_1) \cdots \omega_{p_r}(t_r)]$ is not $o(1)$ only when $\{\mathbf{J}_1, \dots, \mathbf{J}_r\}$ can decompose into clusters of length 2. So r is even.

This implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\omega_{p_1}(t_1) \cdots \omega_{p_r}(t_r)] = 0$ when r is odd.

When r is even,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\omega_{p_1}(t_1) \cdots \omega_{p_r}(t_r)] &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\pi} \prod_{i=1}^{r/2} \mathbb{E}[\omega_{p_{a(i)}}(t_{a(i)}) \omega_{p_{b(i)}}(t_{b(i)})] \\ &= \sum_{\pi} \prod_{i=1}^{r/2} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\omega_{p_{a(i)}}(t_{a(i)}) \omega_{p_{b(i)}}(t_{b(i)})] \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where

$$\pi = \left\{ \{a(1), b(1)\}, \dots, \{a(r/2), b(r/2)\} \right\}$$

runs over $\mathcal{P}_2(r)$.

Step 4

Suppose

$$M_n = (m_{ij}^{(n)})_{i,j=1}^r \quad \text{and} \quad M = (m_{ij})_{i,j=1}^r$$

are $r \times r$ matrices with entries $m_{ij}^{(n)} = \mathbb{E}[\omega_{p_i}(t_i)\omega_{p_j}(t_j)]$ and $m_{ij} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\omega_{p_i}(t_i)\omega_{p_j}(t_j)]$.

Since each M_n is a covariance matrix, their limit M is also a covariance matrix of a centered multivariate Gaussian variable $(X_{p_1}(t_1), \dots, X_{p_r}(t_r))$.

From Wick's formula we have

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{p_1}(t_1) \cdots X_{p_r}(t_r)] = \sum_{\pi} \mathbb{E}[X_{p_{a(1)}}(t_{a(1)})X_{p_{b(1)}}(t_{b(1)})] \cdots \mathbb{E}[X_{p_{a(r/2)}}(t_{a(r/2)})X_{p_{b(r/2)}}(t_{b(r/2)})]$$

where $\pi = \{\{a(1), b(1)\}, \dots, \{a(r/2), b(r/2)\}\}$ runs over $\mathcal{P}_2(r)$. So

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\omega_{p_1}(t_1) \cdots \omega_{p_r}(t_r)] = \mathbb{E}[X_{p_1}(t_1) \cdots X_{p_r}(t_r)].$$

In above equation $p_1, \dots, p_r$ or $t_1, \dots, t_r$ do not have to be pairwise distinct. Thus by doing the same argument we can show that all the joint moments of $(\omega_{p_1}(t_1), \dots, \omega_{p_r}(t_r))$ converges to the corresponding joint moments of $(X_{p_1}(t_1), \dots, X_{p_r}(t_r))$. Therefore

$$(\omega_{p_1}(t_1), \dots, \omega_{p_r}(t_r)) \text{ converges weakly to } (X_{p_1}(t_1), \dots, X_{p_r}(t_r)).$$

Therefore there exists a family of centred Gaussian processes $\{Z_p(t) : t > 0, p \geq 2\}$ such that $(Z_{p_1}(s_1), \dots, Z_{p_k}(s_k))$ is distributed as $(X_{p_1}(s_1), \dots, X_{p_k}(s_k))$ for all $p_1, \dots, p_k \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$ and $s_1 < \dots < s_k \in (0, 1/b)$. Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\omega_{p_1}(s_1) \leq a_1, \dots, \omega_{p_k}(s_k) \leq a_k) = \mathbb{P}(Z_{p_1}(s_1) \leq a_1, \dots, Z_{p_k}(s_k) \leq a_k)$$

$\forall \{a_1, \dots, a_k\} \subset \mathbb{R}$. Combining this with Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 3.3, we complete the proof. $\square$

Remark 3.4. We can also use classic Central Limit Theorem to prove that if $b = 0$ then $Z_2(t)$ is a Brownian motion.

4 Fluctuation of eigenvalues for matrix with Brownian motion entries

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 1.4. Suppose all assumptions of 1.4 hold.

4.1 Asymptotic commutativity for random Toeplitz matrices with slowly growing bandwidth

Lemma 4.1. Suppose $0 < t_1 \leq t_2$ and p, q are natural numbers no less than 2. Set $u_j = a_j(t_1)$, $v_j = a_j(t_2) - a_j(t_1)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[\omega_p(t_1)\omega_q(t_2)] \\ &= b_n^{-\frac{p+q}{2}+1} \sum_{r=0}^q \binom{q}{r} \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_p, \\ j'_1, \dots, j'_q}} \left(\left(\mathbb{E}[u_{j_1} \cdots u_{j_p} u_{j'_1} \cdots u_{j'_r} v_{j'_{r+1}} \cdots v_{j'_q}] - \mathbb{E}[u_{j_1} \cdots u_{j_p}] \mathbb{E}[u_{j'_1} \cdots u_{j'_r} v_{j'_{r+1}} \cdots v_{j'_q}] \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \delta(j_1 + \cdots + j_p) \delta(j'_1 + \cdots + j'_q) \right) + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.2. Lemma 4.1 shows that when evaluating $\mathbb{E}[\omega_p(t_1)\omega_q(t_2)]$ we can treat

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_n}} \left(a_{i-j}(t_1) \delta_{|i-j| \leq b_n} \right)_{i,j=1}^n \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_n}} \left((a_{i-j}(t_2) - a_{i-j}(t_1)) \delta_{|i-j| \leq b_n} \right)_{i,j=1}^n$$

as commutative matrices.

Proof. Obviously u_{i_1} and v_{i_2} are independent for arbitrary i_1 and i_2 . Set

$$U = B_n(t_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_n}} \left(u_{i-j} \delta_{|i-j| \leq b_n} \right)_{i,j=1}^n \quad \text{and} \quad V = B_n(t_2) - B_n(t_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_n}} \left(v_{i-j} \delta_{|i-j| \leq b_n} \right)_{i,j=1}^n.$$

By trace formula (Lemma 2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[\omega_p(t_1)\omega_q(t_2)] \\ &= \frac{b_n}{n^2} \left(\mathbb{E}[\text{tr} B_n(t_1)^p \text{tr} B_n(t_2)^q] - \mathbb{E}[\text{tr} B_n(t_1)^p] \mathbb{E}[\text{tr} B_n(t_2)^q] \right) \\ &= \frac{b_n}{n^2} \left(\mathbb{E}[\text{tr} U^p \text{tr}(U + V)^q] - \mathbb{E}[\text{tr} U^p] \mathbb{E}[\text{tr}(U + V)^q] \right) \\ &= b_n^{-\frac{p+q}{2}+1} \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_p, \\ j'_1, \dots, j'_q}} \left(\mathbb{E}[u_{j_1} \cdots u_{j_p} (u_{j'_1} + v_{j'_1}) \cdots (u_{j'_q} + v_{j'_q})] - \mathbb{E}[u_{j_1} \cdots u_{j_p}] \mathbb{E}[(u_{j'_1} + v_{j'_1}) \cdots (u_{j'_q} + v_{j'_q})] \right) \\ & \quad \times \delta(j_1 + \cdots + j_p) \delta(j'_1 + \cdots + j'_q) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{l=1}^p I_{[1,n]}(i + \sum_{k=1}^l j_k) \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i'=1}^n \prod_{l'=1}^q I_{[1,n]}(i' + \sum_{k=1}^{l'} j'_k) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $j_1, \dots, j_p, j'_1, \dots, j'_q$ all run over $[-b_n, b_n]$. By writing the $(u_{j'_1} + v_{j'_1}) \cdots (u_{j'_q} + v_{j'_q})$ in the last

equation as a sum of monomials we see it suffices to prove that

$$\begin{aligned}
& b_n^{-\frac{p+q}{2}+1} \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_p, \\ j'_1, \dots, j'_q}} \left(\mathbb{E}[u_{j_1} \cdots u_{j_p} u_{j'_1} \cdots u_{j'_r} v_{j'_{r+1}} \cdots v_{j'_q}] - \mathbb{E}[u_{j_1} \cdots u_{j_p}] \mathbb{E}[u_{j'_1} \cdots u_{j'_r} v_{j'_{r+1}} \cdots v_{j'_q}] \right) \\
& \times \delta(j_1 + \cdots + j_p) \delta(j'_1 + \cdots + j'_q) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{l=1}^p I_{[1,n]}(i + \sum_{k=1}^l j_k) \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i'=1}^n \prod_{l'=1}^q I_{[1,n]}(i' + \sum_{k=1}^{l'} j'_{\pi(k)}) \right) \\
& = b_n^{-\frac{p+q}{2}+1} \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_p, \\ j'_1, \dots, j'_q}} \left(\mathbb{E}[u_{j_1} \cdots u_{j_p} u_{j'_1} \cdots u_{j'_r} v_{j'_{r+1}} \cdots v_{j'_q}] - \mathbb{E}[u_{j_1} \cdots u_{j_p}] \mathbb{E}[u_{j'_1} \cdots u_{j'_r} v_{j'_{r+1}} \cdots v_{j'_q}] \right) \\
& \times \delta(j_1 + \cdots + j_p) \delta(j'_1 + \cdots + j'_q) + o(1)
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where $0 \leq r \leq q$ and π is a permutation in S_q .

Set $\mathbf{J} = (j_1, \dots, j_p)$, $\mathbf{J}' = (j'_1, \dots, j'_q)$. The *reduction* $\mathbf{L}$ (see the proof of Lemma 3.1) of $\mathbf{J}$ and $\mathbf{J}'$ is a $p+q-2$ -dimensional vector with components in $\{-b_n, \dots, b_n\}$. With the same argument as that in the proof of Lemma 3.1 we get the similar conclusion as Claim 1 and Claim 2:

- (a) For each $\mathbf{L} \in \mathbb{R}^{p+q-2}$, there are at most $2pq$ pairs $(\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{J}')$ whose reduction is $\mathbf{L}$.
- (b) The main contribution to the left hand side of (19) comes from the case that each component of $\mathbf{L}$ has the same absolute value as exactly one other component of the opposite sign.

By (a) and (b), the main contribution to the left hand side and the first term of the right hand side of (19) comes from $(\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{J}') \in A_n$ where A_n is a subset of $\{-b_n, \dots, b_n\}^p \times \{-b_n, \dots, b_n\}^q$ and the cardinality of A_n is $O(b_n^{\frac{p+q}{2}-1})$. Because of the uniform boundedness of the moments of $\{u_i\}$ and $\{v_i\}$ with order no more than $p+q$, the difference between the left hand side and the first term of the right hand side of (19) is no more than

$$C \cdot b_n^{-\frac{p+q}{2}+1} \sum_{(\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{J}') \in A_n} \left| \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{l=1}^p I_{[1,n]}(i + \sum_{k=1}^l j_k) \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i'=1}^n \prod_{l'=1}^q I_{[1,n]}(i' + \sum_{k=1}^{l'} j'_{\pi(k)}) \right) - 1 \right| \tag{20}$$

for some constant $C > 0$. For $(\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{J}') \in A_n$, the components of $\mathbf{J}$ and $\mathbf{J}'$ are all in $\{-b_n, \dots, b_n\}$, so

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{l=1}^p I_{[1,n]}(i + \sum_{k=1}^l j_k) - 1 \right| \leq \frac{2pb_n}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i'=1}^n \prod_{l'=1}^q I_{[1,n]}(i' + \sum_{k=1}^{l'} j'_{\pi(k)}) - 1 \right| \leq \frac{2qb_n}{n}.$$

Therefore (20) is $O(\frac{b_n}{n})$ which is $o(1)$. The proof is completed. $\square$

4.2 Covariance structure of $\omega_p(t)$

In this section we will use the functions $\tilde{f}_I^\pm(\pi, t_1, t_2)$ and $\tilde{f}_{II}^\pm(\pi, t_1, t_2)$ introduced in Appendix A.

Proposition 4.3. If $0 < t_1 \leq t_2$ and p, q are natural numbers no less than 2, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\omega_p(t_1) \omega_q(t_2)] = \begin{cases} \sum_{r=2,4,\dots,q} \binom{q}{r} t_1^{\frac{p+r}{2}} R_3(p, r) (q-r-1)!! (t_2 - t_1)^{\frac{q-r}{2}} 2^{\frac{p+q}{2}} & \text{if } p \text{ and } q \text{ are both even} \\ \sum_{r=3,5,\dots,q} \binom{q}{r} t_1^{\frac{p+r}{2}} R_4(p, r) (q-r-1)!! (t_2 - t_1)^{\frac{q-r}{2}} 2^{\frac{p+q}{2}} & \text{if } p \text{ and } q \text{ are both odd} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof of Proposition 4.3. Set $u_j = a_j(t_1)$, $v_j = a_j(t_2) - a_j(t_1)$ and

$$U = A_n(t_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_n}} \left(u_{i-j} \delta_{|i-j| \leq b_n} \right)_{i,j=1}^n \quad \text{and} \quad V = A_n(t_2) - A_n(t_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_n}} \left(v_{i-j} \delta_{|i-j| \leq b_n} \right)_{i,j=1}^n.$$

Then U, V are independent with $u_0 = v_0 = 0$. For each $j \neq 0$, u_j is a centered random variable with variance t_1 and v_j is a centered random variable with variance $t_2 - t_1$.

From Lemma 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[\omega_p(t_1)\omega_q(t_2)] \\ &= b_n^{-\frac{p+q}{2}+1} \sum_{r=0}^q \binom{q}{r} \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_p, \\ j'_1, \dots, j'_q}} \left(\left(\mathbb{E}[u_{j_1} \cdots u_{j_p} u_{j'_1} \cdots u_{j'_r} v_{j'_{r+1}} \cdots v_{j'_q}] - \mathbb{E}[u_{j_1} \cdots u_{j_p}] \mathbb{E}[u_{j'_1} \cdots u_{j'_r} v_{j'_{r+1}} \cdots v_{j'_q}] \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \delta(j_1 + \cdots + j_p) \delta(j'_1 + \cdots + j'_q) \right) + o(1) \end{aligned}$$

where $j_1, \dots, j_p, j'_1, \dots, j'_q$ all run over $[-b_n, b_n]$.

Set $\mathbf{J} = (j_1, \dots, j_p)$, $\mathbf{J}' = (j'_1, \dots, j'_q)$, $\mathbf{J}_1' = (j'_1, \dots, j'_r)$, $\mathbf{J}_2' = (j'_{r+1}, \dots, j'_q)$, $u_{\mathbf{J}} = u_{j_1} \cdots u_{j_p}$, $u_{\mathbf{J}_1'} = u_{j'_1} \cdots u_{j'_r}$ and $v_{\mathbf{J}_2'} = v_{j'_{r+1}} \cdots v_{j'_q}$. Obviously $\mathbf{J}_1'$ and $\mathbf{J}_2'$ are determined by $\mathbf{J}'$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\omega_p(t_1)\omega_q(t_2)] &= b_n^{-\frac{p+q}{2}+1} \sum_{r=0}^q \binom{q}{r} \sum_{\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{J}'} \left(\left(\mathbb{E}[u_{\mathbf{J}} u_{\mathbf{J}_1'} v_{\mathbf{J}_2'}] - \mathbb{E}[u_{\mathbf{J}}] \mathbb{E}[u_{\mathbf{J}_1'} v_{\mathbf{J}_2'}] \right) \right) + o(1) \\ &= b_n^{-\frac{p+q}{2}+1} \sum_{r=0}^q \binom{q}{r} \sum_{\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{J}'} \left(\left(\mathbb{E}[u_{\mathbf{J}} u_{\mathbf{J}_1'}] - \mathbb{E}[u_{\mathbf{J}}] \mathbb{E}[u_{\mathbf{J}_1'}] \right) \mathbb{E}[v_{\mathbf{J}_2'}] \right) + o(1) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{J}$ runs over $\{\mathbf{J} \in \{\pm 1, \dots, \pm b_n\}^p | j_1 + \cdots + j_p = 0\}$ and $\mathbf{J}'$ runs over $\{\mathbf{J}' \in \{\pm 1, \dots, \pm b_n\}^q | j'_1 + \cdots + j'_q = 0\}$. The components of $\mathbf{J}$ and $\mathbf{J}'$ do not take the value of 0 since $u_0 = v_0 = 0$.

Now for fixed $r \in \{0, \dots, q\}$ consider

$$b_n^{-\frac{p+q}{2}+1} \sum_{\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{J}'} \left(\left(\mathbb{E}[u_{\mathbf{J}} u_{\mathbf{J}_1'}] - \mathbb{E}[u_{\mathbf{J}}] \mathbb{E}[u_{\mathbf{J}_1'}] \right) \mathbb{E}[v_{\mathbf{J}_2'}] \right). \quad (21)$$

From the uniform bounds of the moments of the random variables, there is a positive constant C independent of n such that

$$\left| \left(\mathbb{E}[u_{\mathbf{J}} u_{\mathbf{J}_1'}] - \mathbb{E}[u_{\mathbf{J}}] \mathbb{E}[u_{\mathbf{J}_1'}] \right) \mathbb{E}[v_{\mathbf{J}_2'}] \right| < C$$

for all $\mathbf{J}$ and $\mathbf{J}'$.

Recall that for a vector $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$, we set $S_\alpha = \{|\alpha_1|, \dots, |\alpha_k|\}$. From the independence of the random variables $\{u_i\}$ and $\{v_i\}$, a term

$$\left(\mathbb{E}[u_{\mathbf{J}} u_{\mathbf{J}_1'}] - \mathbb{E}[u_{\mathbf{J}}] \mathbb{E}[u_{\mathbf{J}_1'}] \right) \mathbb{E}[v_{\mathbf{J}_2'}]$$

in (21) is not zero only when the following constraints are satisfied:

Constraint 1: $\mathbf{J}$ and $\mathbf{J}'_1$ are correlated, i.e., $S_{\mathbf{J}}$ and $S_{\mathbf{J}'_1}$ have common elements;

Constraint 2: each element in $S_{\mathbf{J}} \cup S_{\mathbf{J}'_1}$ has multiplicity 2 or more;

Constraint 3: each element in $S_{\mathbf{J}'_2}$ has multiplicity 2 or more.

Similarly as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, for each pair $(\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{J}')$ corresponding to a non-zero term we do reduction and get a $p + q - 2$ dimensional balanced vector $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{J} \setminus_{|j_u|} \mathbf{J}'$. From the construction of $\mathbf{L}$ we notice that $\mathbf{J}'_2$ consists of the last $q - r$ components of $\mathbf{L}$ since $\mathbf{J}$ and $\mathbf{J}'_1$ are correlated. Different from the case in the proof of Lemma 3.1, now the image of reduction is not the whole set of $\{\mathbf{L} \in \{\pm 1, \dots, \pm b_n\}^{p+q-2} | l_1 + \dots + l_{p+q-2} = 0\}$ because of the above three constraints. Use Σ to denote the image of reductions of the pairs of balanced vectors $(\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{J}')$ satisfying the three constraints. From the Claim 1 in the proof of Lemma 3.1, the pre-images of a given $\mathbf{L} \in \Sigma$ is no more than $2pq$.

By exactly the same argument we used to get the Claim 2 in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we get the following observation.

Observation The main contribution to (21) comes from the case that $p + q$ is even and each of $\mathbf{L}$'s components has the same absolute value as exactly one other component of the opposite sign.

From the Constraint 3, if j'_s is a component of $\mathbf{J}'_2$, then there must be some other components $j'_{w_1}, \dots, j'_{w_\alpha}$ of $\mathbf{J}'_2$ such that $|j'_s| = |j'_{w_1}| = \dots = |j'_{w_\alpha}|$. But all of $j'_s, j'_{w_1}, \dots, j'_{w_\alpha}$ appear in $\mathbf{L}$ since $\mathbf{J}'_2$ consists of the last $q - r$ components of $\mathbf{L}$. So from the above observation j'_s has the same absolute value as exactly one other component of $\mathbf{J}'_2$ which has an opposite sign as j'_s . In other words, the main contribution to (21) comes from the case that $q - r$ is even and each of $\mathbf{J}'_2$'s components has the same absolute value as exactly one other component of the opposite sign. In this case $\mathbf{J}'_1$ and $\mathbf{J}'_2$ are both balanced.

So (21) equals

$$\left(b_n^{-\frac{p+r}{2}+1} \sum_{\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{J}'_1} \left(\mathbb{E}[u_{\mathbf{J}} u_{\mathbf{J}'_1}] - \mathbb{E}[u_{\mathbf{J}}] \mathbb{E}[u_{\mathbf{J}'_1}] \right) \right) \left(b_n^{-\frac{q-r}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{J}'_2} \mathbb{E}[v_{\mathbf{J}'_2}] \right) + o(1) \quad (22)$$

where $\mathbf{J}$ runs over $\{\mathbf{J} \in \{\pm 1, \dots, \pm b_n\}^p | \mathbf{J} \text{ is balanced}\}$, $\mathbf{J}'_1$ runs over $\{\mathbf{J}'_1 \in \{\pm 1, \dots, \pm b_n\}^r | \mathbf{J}'_1 \text{ is balanced}\}$ and $\mathbf{J}'_2$ runs over $\{\mathbf{J}'_2 \in \{\pm 1, \dots, \pm b_n\}^{q-r} | \mathbf{J}'_2 \text{ is balanced}\}$.

We can use exactly the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 3.1 to evaluate

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n^{-\frac{p+r}{2}+1} \sum_{\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{J}'_1} \left(\mathbb{E}[u_{\mathbf{J}} u_{\mathbf{J}'_1}] - \mathbb{E}[u_{\mathbf{J}}] \mathbb{E}[u_{\mathbf{J}'_1}] \right).$$

In fact, this limit is 0 when $p + r$ is odd. When $p + r$ is even, we have the same formula as (16):

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n^{-\frac{p+r}{2}+1} \sum_{\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{J}'_1} \left(\mathbb{E}[u_{\mathbf{J}} u_{\mathbf{J}'_1}] - \mathbb{E}[u_{\mathbf{J}}] \mathbb{E}[u_{\mathbf{J}'_1}] \right) \\ &= (\mathbb{E}[u_i^2])^{\frac{p+r}{2}} \left[\sum_{\pi \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_2(p, r)} \left(\tilde{f}_I^-(\pi, 1, 1) + \tilde{f}_I^+(\pi, 1, 1) \right) \right] \\ &+ \left((\mathbb{E}[u_i^2])^{\frac{p+r-4}{2}} \mathbb{E}[u_i^4] - (\mathbb{E}[u_i^2])^{\frac{p+r}{2}} \right) \left[\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_{2,4}(p, r)} \left(\tilde{f}_{II}^-(\pi, 1, 1) + \tilde{f}_{II}^+(\pi, 1, 1) \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\mathbb{E}[u_i^2] = t_1$ and $\mathbb{E}[u_i^4] = 3t_1^2$ for all $i \neq 0$ and that

$$\tilde{f}_I^-(\pi, 1, 1) = \tilde{f}_I^+(\pi, 1, 1) = \tilde{f}_{II}^-(\pi, 1, 1) + \tilde{f}_{II}^+(\pi, 1, 1) = 2^{\frac{p+r}{2}-1}$$

since $b_n = o(n)$ (see Lemma A.2). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n^{-\frac{p+r}{2}+1} \sum_{\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{J}'_1} \left(\mathbb{E}[u_{\mathbf{J}} u_{\mathbf{J}'_1}] - \mathbb{E}[u_{\mathbf{J}}] \mathbb{E}[u_{\mathbf{J}'_1}] \right) \\ &= \begin{cases} 2^{\frac{p+r}{2}} t_1^{\frac{p+r}{2}} \left(|\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_2(p, r)| + 2|\mathcal{P}_{2,4}(p, r)| \right) & \text{if } p+r \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{if } p+r \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where $|\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_2(p, r)|$ and $|\mathcal{P}_{2,4}(p, r)|$ denote the cardinalities of $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_2(p, r)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{2,4}(p, r)$ respectively.

To evaluate $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n^{-\frac{q-r}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{J}'_2} \mathbb{E}[v_{\mathbf{J}'_2}]$, consider a random Toeplitz matrix

$$M_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2b_n}} \left(w_{i-j} \delta_{|i-j| \leq b_n} \right)_{i,j=1}^n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2b_n}} \left(\frac{v_{i-j}}{\sqrt{t_2 - t_1}} \delta_{|i-j| \leq b_n} \right)_{i,j=1}^n$$

where $w_i = \frac{v_{i-j}}{\sqrt{t_2 - t_1}}$ are centered random variables with variance 1. By Lemma 2.1,

$$\frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}[\text{tr} M_n^{q-r}] = \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{(2b_n)^{\frac{q-r}{2}}} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{q-r} = -b_n}^{b_n} \mathbb{E}[w_{j_1} \cdots w_{j_{q-r}}] \prod_{s=1}^{q-r} I_{[1,n]}(i + \sum_{z=1}^s j_z) \delta(\sum_{z=1}^{q-r} j_z).$$

Since $b_n = o(n)$, we have $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{s=1}^{q-r} I_{[1,n]}(i + \sum_{z=1}^s j_z) = 1$ for all $j_1, \dots, j_{q-r}$. Thus from dominate convergence,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}[\text{tr} M_n^{q-r}] &= \frac{1}{(2b_n)^{\frac{q-r}{2}}} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{q-r} = -b_n}^{b_n} \mathbb{E}[w_{j_1} \cdots w_{j_{q-r}}] \delta(\sum_{z=1}^{q-r} j_z) + o(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{[2(t_2 - t_1)]^{\frac{q-r}{2}}} \frac{1}{(b_n)^{\frac{q-r}{2}}} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{q-r} = -b_n}^{b_n} \mathbb{E}[v_{j_1} \cdots v_{j_{q-r}}] \delta(\sum_{z=1}^{q-r} j_z) + o(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{[2(t_2 - t_1)]^{\frac{q-r}{2}}} \frac{1}{(b_n)^{\frac{q-r}{2}}} \sum_{\mathbf{J}'_2} \mathbb{E}[v_{\mathbf{J}'_2}] + o(1) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{J}'_2$ runs over $\{\mathbf{J}'_2 \in \{\pm 1, \dots, \pm b_n\}^{q-r} | \mathbf{J}'_2 \text{ is balanced}\}$. By Theorem 3.1 of [29] the empirical measure of M_n converges weakly to the standard Gaussian distribution. So $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}[\text{tr} M_n^{q-r}]$ equals $(q-r-1)!!$ if $q-r$ is even and 0 if $q-r$ is odd. Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n^{-\frac{p+r}{2}} \sum_{\mathbf{J}'_2} \mathbb{E}[v_{\mathbf{J}'_2}] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } q-r \text{ is odd} \\ (q-r-1)!! (t_2 - t_1)^{\frac{q-r}{2}} 2^{\frac{q-r}{2}} & \text{if } q-r \text{ is even} \end{cases}. \quad (24)$$

From (22), (23) and (24) we see that (21) is not $o(1)$ only when $p - r$ and $q - r$ are both even. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\omega_p(t_1)\omega_q(t_2)] \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n^{-\frac{p+q}{2}+1} \sum_{r=0}^q \binom{q}{r} \sum_{\mathbf{J}, \mathbf{J}'} \left(\left(\mathbb{E}[u_{\mathbf{J}} u_{\mathbf{J}'_1}] - \mathbb{E}[u_{\mathbf{J}}] \mathbb{E}[u_{\mathbf{J}'_1}] \right) \mathbb{E}[v_{\mathbf{J}'_2}] \right) \\
&= \begin{cases} \sum_{r=2,4,\dots,q} \binom{q}{r} t_1^{\frac{p+r}{2}} 2^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \left(|\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_2(p, r)| + 2|\mathcal{P}_{2,4}(p, r)| \right) (q-r-1)!! (t_2 - t_1)^{\frac{q-r}{2}} & \text{if } p \text{ and } q \text{ are both even} \\ \sum_{r=3,5,\dots,q} \binom{q}{r} t_1^{\frac{p+r}{2}} 2^{\frac{p+q}{2}} |\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_2(p, r)| (q-r-1)!! (t_2 - t_1)^{\frac{q-r}{2}} & \text{if } p \text{ and } q \text{ are both odd} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{25}
\end{aligned}$$

because $\mathcal{P}_{2,4}(p, r) = \emptyset$ when p or r is odd. (We made the convention that $(-1)!! = 1$.)

When p and r are even, as found in the proof of Proposition 3.3,

$$\left| \mathcal{P}_{2,4}(p, r) \right| = \frac{pr}{4} (p-1)!! (r-1)!! \tag{26}$$

Since $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_2(p, r) = \mathcal{P}_2(p+r) \setminus \left(\left\{ \pi \in \mathcal{P}_2(p+r) \mid \pi \text{ has 0 cross} \right\} \cup \left\{ \pi \in \mathcal{P}_2(p, r) \mid \pi \text{ has 2 crosses} \right\} \right)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_2(p, r)| &= |\mathcal{P}_2(p+r)| - |\mathcal{P}_2(p)| |\mathcal{P}_2(r)| - |\{ \pi \in \mathcal{P}_2(p, r) \mid \pi \text{ has 2 crosses} \}| \\
&= (p+r-1)!! - (p-1)!! (r-1)!! - 2 \binom{p}{2} \binom{r}{2} (p-3)!! (r-3)!! \\
&= (p+r-1)!! - \left(1 + \frac{pr}{2}\right) (p-1)!! (r-1)!! \tag{27}
\end{aligned}$$

When p and r are odd, $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_2(p, r) = \mathcal{P}_2(p+r) \setminus \left\{ \pi \in \mathcal{P}_2(p, r) \mid \pi \text{ has 1 cross} \right\}$, so

$$|\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_2(p, r)| = |\mathcal{P}_2(p+r)| - |\{ \pi \in \mathcal{P}_2(p, r) \mid \pi \text{ has 1 crosses} \}| = (p+r-1)!! - pr(p-2)!! (r-2)!! \tag{28}$$

Plugging (26), (27) and (28) into (25) we finish the proof. $\square$

4.3 Multi-point fluctuation

We prove Theorem 1.4 in this Section.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. The proof of Theorem 1.4 contains 4 steps. But only the first two steps are different from the corresponding steps of the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Step 1

By Lemma 2.1 we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}[\omega_{p_1}(t_1) \cdots \omega_{p_r}(t_r)] \\
&= \left(\frac{\sqrt{b_n}}{n}\right)^r \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\text{tr} A_n(t_1)^{p_1} - \mathbb{E}[\text{tr} A_n(t_1)^{p_1}]\right) \cdots \left(\text{tr} A_n(t_r)^{p_r} - \mathbb{E}[\text{tr} A_n(t_r)^{p_r}]\right)\right] \\
&= \left(\frac{\sqrt{b_n}}{n}\right)^r \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{b_n}}\right)^{p_1 + \cdots + p_r} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_r=1}^n \sum_{\mathbf{J}_1, \dots, \mathbf{J}_r} \mathbb{E}[(a_{\mathbf{J}_1}(t_1) - \mathbb{E}[a_{\mathbf{J}_1}(t_1)]) \cdots (a_{\mathbf{J}_r}(t_r) - \mathbb{E}[a_{\mathbf{J}_r}(t_r)])] I(i_1, \mathbf{J}_1) \cdots I(i_r, \mathbf{J}_r)
\end{aligned}$$

where each $\mathbf{J}_k = (j_1(k), \dots, j_{p_k}(k))$, $a_{\mathbf{J}_k}(t_k) = a_{j_1(k)}(t_k) \cdots a_{j_{p_k}(k)}(t_k)$, $I(i_k, \mathbf{J}_k) = \prod_{s=1}^{p_k} I_{[1, n]}(i_k + \sum_{q=1}^s j_q(k))$ and $\mathbf{J}_k$ runs over

$$\left\{ (j_1(k), \dots, j_{p_k}(k)) \in \{\pm 1, \dots, \pm b_n\}^{p_k} \mid j_1(k) + \cdots + j_{p_k}(k) = 0 \right\}.$$

Step 2

We have the following the observations.

1. For fixed $\mathbf{J}_1, \dots, \mathbf{J}_r$, if there exists $1 \leq i \leq r$ such that $\mathbf{J}_i$ is not correlated with any other one of $\mathbf{J}_1, \dots, \mathbf{J}_r$, then $\mathbb{E}[(a_{\mathbf{J}_1}(t_1) - \mathbb{E}[a_{\mathbf{J}_1}(t_1)]) \cdots (a_{\mathbf{J}_r}(t_r) - \mathbb{E}[a_{\mathbf{J}_r}(t_r)])]$ must be 0 because of the independence of $a_1, a_2, \dots$.
2. When $\mathbf{J}_1, \dots, \mathbf{J}_r$ vary, $\mathbb{E}[(a_{\mathbf{J}_1}(t_1) - \mathbb{E}[a_{\mathbf{J}_1}(t_1)]) \cdots (a_{\mathbf{J}_r}(t_r) - \mathbb{E}[a_{\mathbf{J}_r}(t_r)])]$ is bounded because of the boundedness of the moments of $a_1, a_2, \dots$. So there is some $M > 0$ such that

$$|\mathbb{E}[(a_{\mathbf{J}_1}(t_1) - \mathbb{E}[a_{\mathbf{J}_1}(t_1)]) \cdots (a_{\mathbf{J}_r}(t_r) - \mathbb{E}[a_{\mathbf{J}_r}(t_r)])]| \leq M$$

holds uniformly.

Then by applying the same argument in Step 3 and Step 4 of the proof of Theorem 1.2, we finish the proof of Theorem 1.4. $\square$

A Some integrals in the proofs Theorem 1.2 and 1.4

To prove Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.4, we need to define four functions $\tilde{f}_I^+(\pi, t_1, t_2)$, $\tilde{f}_I^-(\pi, t_1, t_2)$, $\tilde{f}_{II}^+(\pi, t_1, t_2)$ and $\tilde{f}_{II}^-(\pi, t_1, t_2)$.

Suppose $b \in [0, 1]$ and $t_1 \leq t_2$ are numbers in $(0, 1/b)$. (We define $(0, 1/0)$ to be $(0, \infty)$.)

Suppose $p + q$ is even. For $\pi = \{V_1, \dots, V_{(p+q)/2}\} \in \mathcal{P}_2(p, q)$, set

$$\epsilon_\pi(i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \text{ is the smallest number of } \pi^{-1}(\pi(i)) \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $\pi(i)$ is defined in Section 2.2.

We construct a relation between two groups of unknowns $y_1, \dots, y_{p+q}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_{\frac{p+q}{2}}$ as

$$\epsilon_\pi(i) y_i = \epsilon_\pi(j) y_j = x_{\pi(i)}$$

whenever $i \sim_\pi j$. Without loss of generality suppose $V_i \cap \{1, \dots, p\} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $i \leq s$. For $x_0, y_0 \in [0, 1]$ and $x_1, \dots, x_{\frac{p+q}{2}} \in [-1, 1]$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_I^-(\pi, t_1, t_2) &= \int_{[0,1]^2} dy_0 dx_0 \int_{[-t_1, t_1]^s} dx_1 \cdots dx_s \int_{[-t_2, t_2]^{-s+(p+q)/2}} dx_{s+1} \cdots dx_{(p+q)/2} \\ &\times \delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^p y_i\right) \prod_{j=1}^p I_{[0,1]}(x_0 + b \sum_{i=1}^j y_i) \prod_{j'=p+1}^{p+q} I_{[0,1]}(y_0 + b \sum_{i=p+1}^{j'} y_i) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_I^+(\pi, t_1, t_2) &= \int_{[0,1]^2} dy_0 dx_0 \int_{[-t_1, t_1]^s} dx_1 \cdots dx_s \int_{[-t_2, t_2]^{-s+(p+q)/2}} dx_{s+1} \cdots dx_{(p+q)/2} \\ &\times \delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^p y_i\right) \prod_{j=1}^p I_{[0,1]}(x_0 + b \sum_{i=1}^j y_i) \prod_{j'=p+1}^{p+q} I_{[0,1]}(y_0 - b \sum_{i=p+1}^{j'} y_i) \end{aligned}$$

where δ is the Dirac function and $I_{[0,1]}$ is the indicator function.

For $\pi = \{V_1, \dots, V_{\frac{p+q}{2}-1}\} \in \mathcal{P}_{2,4}(p, q)$ (denoting the block with four elements by V_i), we set for $\pi(k) \neq i$

$$\tau_\pi(k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k \text{ is the smallest number of } \pi^{-1}(\pi(k)); \\ -1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

while for $\pi(k) = i$

$$\tau_\pi(k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k \text{ is the smallest or largest number of } \pi^{-1}(\pi(k)); \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For such a π , we define a relation between two groups of unknowns $y_1, \dots, y_{p+q}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_{\frac{p+q}{2}-1}$ as following:

$$\tau_\pi(u)y_u = \tau_\pi(v)y_v = x_{\pi(u)}$$

whenever $u \sim_\pi v$. For $x_0, y_0 \in [0, 1]$ and $x_1, \dots, x_{\frac{p+q}{2}-1} \in [-1, 1]$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_{II}^-(\pi, t_1, t_2) &= \int_{[0,1]^2} dy_0 dx_0 \int_{[-t_1, t_1]^{p/2}} dx_1 \cdots dx_{p/2} \int_{[-t_2, t_2]^{(q/2)-1}} dx_{(p/2)+1} \cdots dx_{-1+(p+q)/2} \\ &\times \prod_{j=1}^p I_{[0,1]}(x_0 + b \sum_{i=1}^j y_i) \prod_{j'=p+1}^{p+q} I_{[0,1]}(y_0 + b \sum_{i=p+1}^{j'} y_i) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_{II}^+(\pi, t_1, t_2) &= \int_{[0,1]^2} dy_0 dx_0 \int_{[-t_1, t_1]^{p/2}} dx_1 \cdots dx_{p/2} \int_{[-t_2, t_2]^{(q/2)-1}} dx_{(p/2)+1} \cdots dx_{-1+(p+q)/2} \\ &\times \prod_{j=1}^p I_{[0,1]}(x_0 + b \sum_{i=1}^j y_i) \prod_{j'=p+1}^{p+q} I_{[0,1]}(y_0 - b \sum_{i=p+1}^{j'} y_i). \end{aligned}$$

Remark A.1. $\tilde{f}_I^\pm(\pi, t_1, t_2)$ and $\tilde{f}_{II}^\pm(\pi, t_1, t_2)$ are same as the functions $f_I^\pm(\pi)$ and $f_{II}^\pm(\pi)$ defined in [28] respectively, only except that the domains of the integrals are different.

Immediately we have that when $b = 0$,

$$\tilde{f}_I^-(\pi, t_1, t_2) = \tilde{f}_I^+(\pi, t_1, t_2) = \int_{[-t_1, t_1]^s} dx_1 \cdots dx_s \int_{[-t_2, t_2]^{-s+(p+q)/2}} dx_{s+1} \cdots dx_{(p+q)/2} \cdot \delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^p y_i\right), \quad (29)$$

$$\tilde{f}_{II}^-(\pi, t_1, t_2) = \tilde{f}_{II}^+(\pi, t_1, t_2) = \int_{[-t_1, t_1]^{p/2}} dx_1 \cdots dx_{p/2} \int_{[-t_2, t_2]^{(q/2)-1}} dx_{(p/2)+1} \cdots dx_{-1+(p+q)/2}. \quad (30)$$

Lemma A.2. Suppose $p + q$ is even and $\pi_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2(p, q)$. If π_1 has k crosses, then when $b = 0$,

$$\tilde{f}_I^-(\pi_1, t_1, t_2) = \tilde{f}_I^+(\pi_1, t_1, t_2) = 2^{\frac{p+q}{2}-1} t_1^{\frac{p+k}{2}-1} t_2^{\frac{q-k}{2}}. \quad (31)$$

Suppose p, q are both even and $\pi_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{2,4}(p, q)$. Then when $b = 0$,

$$\tilde{f}_{II}^-(\pi_2, t_1, t_2) = \tilde{f}_{II}^+(\pi_2, t_1, t_2) = 2^{\frac{p+q}{2}-1} t_1^{\frac{p}{2}} t_2^{\frac{q}{2}-1}. \quad (32)$$

Proof of Lemma A.2. Since π_1 has k crosses, it has k blocks which intersect both $\{1, \dots, p\}$ and $\{p+1, \dots, p+q\}$. Therefore π_1 has $\frac{p-k}{2}$ blocks totally contained in $\{1, \dots, p\}$ and $\frac{q-k}{2}$ blocks totally contained in $\{p+1, \dots, p+q\}$. By definition of the number s we have that

$$s = \frac{p-k}{2} + k = \frac{p+k}{2}.$$

The variables $x_{s+1}, \dots, x_{(p+q)/2}$ correspond to the blocks totally contained in $\{p+1, \dots, p+q\}$ thus can take values freely in $[-t_2, t_2]$. So their contribution to the integral is

$$(2t_2)^{((p+q)/2)-s} = (2t_2)^{(q-k)/2}.$$

Among $x_1, \dots, x_s$, there are $\frac{p-k}{2}$ of them corresponding to the blocks totally contained in $\{1, \dots, p\}$ and they can take value freely in $[-t_1, t_1]$. So their contribution to the integral is

$$(2t_1)^{(p-k)/2}.$$

The other k variables of $x_1, \dots, x_s$ correspond to the k crosses. They can only take value in $[-t_1, t_1]$ but not $[-t_2, t_2]$ since $|t_1| \leq |t_2|$. The restriction $\delta(\sum_{i=1}^p y_i)$ is equivalent to the fact that the sum of these k variables is 0. Thus this restriction will take off one degree of freedom of these k variables and their contribution to the integral is

$$(2t_1)^{k-1}.$$

The total integral should be the product of contribution of all variables, which is

$$(2t_2)^{(q-k)/2} \cdot (2t_1)^{(p-k)/2} \cdot (2t_1)^{k-1} = 2^{(\frac{p+q}{2}-1)} t_1^{(\frac{p+k}{2}-1)} t_2^{(\frac{q-k}{2})}.$$

Thus we proved (31). (32) comes directly from (30). $\square$

Acknowledgement

It is a pleasure to thank Mark Adler and Dangzheng Liu for reading an early version of this paper and for their suggestions. We also thank the anonymous reviewer for the helpful comments.

References

- [1] G. Anderson, A. Guionnet and O. Zeitouni, *An introduction to random matrices*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 118, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [2] G. Anderson and O. Zeitouni, A CLT for a band matrix model, *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **134**, 283–338 (2006).
- [3] Z. Bai, Methodologies in spectral analysis of large-dimensional random matrices, a review, *Statist. Sinica*. **9**, 611–677 (1999).
- [4] Z. Bai and J. W. Silverstein, *Spectral analysis of large dimensional random matrices*, Science Press, Beijing, 2006.
- [5] A. Basak and A. Bose, Limiting spectral distribution of some band matrices, preprint (2009).
- [6] E. L. Basor, Toeplitz determinants, Fisher–Hartwig symbols and random matrices, *Recent Perspectives in Random Matrix Theory and Number Theory, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.* **322**, 309–336 (2005).
- [7] L. V. Bogachev, S. A. Molchanov and L. A. Pastur, On the level density of random band matrices, *Math. Notes*. **50**, 1232–1242 (1991).
- [8] A. Bose, S. Chatterjee and S. Gangopadhyay, Limiting spectral distribution of large dimensional random matrices, *J. Indian Statist. Assoc.* **41**, 221–259 (2003).
- [9] A. Bose and J. Mitra, Limiting spectral distribution of a special circulant, *Stat. Probab. Letters* **60**, 111–120 (2002).
- [10] A. Bottcher and S. M. Grudsky, *Spectral Properties of Banded Toeplitz Matrices*, Society for Industrial Mathematics, Philadelphia, 2005.
- [11] W. Bryc, A. Dembo and T. Jiang, Spectral measure of large random Hankel, Markov and Toeplitz matrices, *Ann. Probab.* **34**, 1–38 (2006).
- [12] G. Casati, L. Molinari and F. Izrailev, Scaling properties of band random matrices, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 1851–1854 (1990).
- [13] S. Chatterjee, Fluctuations of eigenvalues and second order Poincaré inequalities, *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **143**, 1–40 (2009).
- [14] P. Diaconis, Patterns in eigenvalues: The 70th Josiah Willard Gibbs lecture, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **40**, 115–178 (2003).
- [15] P. Diaconis, and S. N. Evans, Linear functionals of eigenvalues of random matrices, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **353**, 2615–2633 (2001).
- [16] P. Diaconis and M. Shahshahani, On the eigenvalues of random matrices: Studies in applied probability, *J. Appl. Probab.* **31A**, 49–62 (1994).
- [17] I. Dumitriu and A. Edelman, Global spectrum fluctuation for the β -Hermite and β -Laguerre ensembles via matrix models, *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, **47**, 063302–063336 (2006).
- [18] L. Erdos, A. Knowles and H.-T. Yau, Averaging fluctuations in resolvents of random band matrices, preprint (2012), arXiv:1205.5664.
- [19] L. Erdos, A. Knowles, H.-T. Yau and J. Yin, Delocalization and diffusion profile for random band matrices, preprint (2012), arXiv:1205.5669.
- [20] Y. V. Fyodorov and A. D. Mirlin, Scaling properties of localization in random band matrices: A σ -model approach, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67**, 2405–2409 (1991).

- [21] U. Grenander and G. Szegő, *Toeplitz forms and their applications*, University of California Press, Berkeley-Los Angeles, 1958.
- [22] C. Hammond and S. J. Miller, Distribution of eigenvalues for the ensemble of real symmetric Toeplitz matrices, *J. Theoret. Probab.* **18**, 537–566 (2005).
- [23] I. Jana, K. Saha and A. Soshnikov, Fluctuations of Linear Eigenvalue Statistics of Random Band Matrices, preprint (2014), arXiv:1412.2445.
- [24] K. Johansson, On the fluctuation of eigenvalues of random Hermitian matrices, *Duke Math. J.* **91**, 151–204 (1998).
- [25] D. Jonsson, Some limit theorems for the eigenvalues of a sample covariance matrix, *J. Mult. Anal.* **12**, 1–38 (1982).
- [26] V. Kargin, Spectrum of random Toeplitz matrices with band structures, *Elect. Comm. in Probab.* **14**, 412–421 (2009).
- [27] L. Li and A. Soshnikov, Central limit theorem for linear statistics of eigenvalues of band random matrices, *Random Matrices: Theory and Applications* **2**, (2013).
- [28] D. Z. Liu, X. Sun and Z. D. Wang, Fluctuations of eigenvalues for random Toeplitz and related matrices, *Electron. J. Probab.* **17**, 1–22 (2012).
- [29] D. Z. Liu and Z. D. Wang, Limit distribution of eigenvalues for random Hankel and Toeplitz band matrices, *J. Theor. Probab.* **27**, 988–1001 (2011).
- [30] A. Massey, S. J. Miller and J. Sinsheimer, Distribution of Eigenvalues of Real Symmetric Palindromic Toeplitz Matrices and Circulant Matrices, *J. Theoret. Probab.* **20**, 637–662 (2007).
- [31] M. L. Mehta, *Random Matrices*, 3rd ed., Academic Press, San Diego, 2004.
- [32] S. A. Molchanov, L. A. Pastur and A. M. Khorunzhy, Eigenvalue distribution for band random matrices in the limit of their infinite rank, *Teor. Matem. Fizika.* **90**, 108–118 (1992).
- [33] I. Popescu, General tridiagonal random matrix models, limiting distributions and fluctuations, *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **114**, 179–220 (2009).
- [34] A. Sen and B. Virag, The top eigenvalue of the random Toeplitz matrix and the sine kernel, *Ann. Probab.* **41**, 4050–4079 (2013).
- [35] Y. Sinai, and A. Soshnikov, Central Limit Theorem for Traces of Large Random Symmetric Matrices With Independent Matrix Elements, *Bol. Soc. Bras. Mat* **29**, 1–24 (1998).
- [36] E. P. Wigner, Characteristic vectors of bordered matrices with infinite dimensions, *Ann. Math.* **12**, 548–564 (1955).
- [37] E. P. Wigner, On the distribution of the roots of certain symmetric matrices, *Ann. Math.* **67**, 325–327 (1958).

Yiting Li, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BRANDEIS UNIVERSITY, 415 SOUTHR STREET, WALTHAM, MA 02453, USA

E-mail address, Yiting Li: yitingli@brandeis.edu

Xin Sun, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 77 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139-4307, USA

E-mail address, Xin Sun: xinsun89@math.mit.edu